FARA
Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa

Research Report

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3RD CSIR-RSA SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE, ACCRA, GHANA

Plant-Parasitic Nematodes Of With Oil

Palm Trees in Three Regions of Ghana

FRR VOLUME 6 No. 24

Authors

Lutuf H
Ablormeti F.K
Obeng J

Nyaku S.T
Abdulai F

ISSN 2550-3359

OCT 2022

Article Citation: Lutuf, H. et al (2022). Plant-parasitic nematodes of with oil palm trees in three regions of Ghana. Proceedings of 3rd CSIR RSA Scientific Conference. Accra, Ghana. Omari R. and Andoh H. (Eds). P1-13.

Corresponding Author
haffinah@yahoo.com

ISSN:2550-3359

Editorials
Mr. Benjamin Abugri (babugri@faraafrica.org)

Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA)
12 Anmeda Street, Roman Ridge PMB CT 173, Accra, Ghana Tel: +233 302 772823 / 302 779421 Fax: +233 302 773676 Email: Website: www.faraafrica.org : www.faradatainforms.faraafrica.org

Join the network: https://faraafrica.community/fara-net/join
Register as an AR4D expert: https://experts.faraafrica.org/

Designed By: Samuel Oti Attakorah - FARA Knowledge Management, Learning & Communications Unit (publications@faraafrica.org)

About FARA

The Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA) is the apex continental organisation responsible for coordinating and advocating for agricultural research-for-development. (AR4D). It serves as the entry point for agricultural research initiatives designed to have a continental reach or a sub-continental reach spanning more than one sub-region.
FARA serves as the technical arm of the African Union Commission (AUC) on matters concerning agricultural science, technology and innovation. FARA has provided a continental forum for stakeholders in AR4D to shape the vision and agenda for the sub-sector and to mobilise themselves to respond to key continent-wide development frameworks, notably the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme (CAADP).
FARA's vision is to "Reduced poverty in Africa as a result of sustainable broad-based agricultural growth and improved livelihoods, particularly of smallholder and pastoral enterprises" its mission is the "Creation of broad-based improvements in agricultural productivity, competitiveness and markets by strengthening the capacity for agricultural innovation at the continental-level"; its Value Proposition is the "Strengthening Africa's capacity for innovation and transformation by visioning its strategic direction, integrating its capacities for change and creating an enabling policy environment for implementation". FARA's strategic direction is derived from and aligned to the Science Agenda for Agriculture in Africa (S3A), which is in turn designed to support the realization of the CAADP vision.

Disclaimer

"The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors. They do not purport to reflect the opinions or views of FARA or its members. The designations employed in this publication and the presentation of material therein do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of FARA concerning the legal status of any country, area or territory or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers".

Abstract

Field surveys were conducted in three oil palm producing regions of Ghana to determine the frequency, population density and geographical distribution of plant parasitic nematodes associated with oil palm. Surveys were conducted during the peak periods of two successive cropping seasons of 2019 and 2020. A total of 64 soil and root samples were collected and plant parasitic nematodes extracted and identified from them. Seven hundred and sixteen (716) mixed populations of eleven economically important plant-parasitic nematode genera were recovered and identified from both roots and rhizosphere soils during the period. These were Aphelenchus spp (14). Criconemoides spp (61). Helicotylenchus spp (75). Hemicriconemoides spp (47). Meloidogyne spp (220). Pratylenchus spp (129). Radopholus spp (87). Rotylenchus spp (30). Tylenchorynchus spp (18). Tylenchus spp (23). and Xiphinema spp (12). Meloidogyne spp. and Pratylenchus spp were the most frequently isolated and widely distributed geographically. These nematodes, if not managed properly, could pose a threat to oil palm production in Ghana.

KEYWORDS: Elaeis guineensis, Nematodes, Prevalence, Distribution, Survey.

Introduction

The oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) is a major oil-producing crop, accounting for more than half of the global vegetable oil trade (Boons & Mendoza, 2010). It is the world's most productive oil crop per acre (Verheye, 2010). In Ghana, its farming in the forest zone contributes significantly to the economy in terms of jobs and foreign exchange, coming in second only to cocoa.

Many pests and diseases, however, have been found to harm oil palms across the world (Flood, 2006). In Ghana, particularly in the Western, Central and Eastern Regions where optimal areas for oil palm cultivation are found, oil palm is faced with damage of pests and diseases. The common insect pests of oil palm in Ghana are the Oil palm leaf miner (Coelaenomenodera lameensis), Red palm weevil (Rhynchophorus spp.) and Rhinocerous beetle (Oryctes rhinoceros Linneaus)(Agrios, 2015). The most common fungal diseases include Bunch rot (Marasmius palmivorus Sharples), Bud rot disease (Phytophthora palmivora Butler), Basal stem rot (Ganoderma boninse), and Vascular wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp elaeidis)(Agrios, 2015). The bacterial bud rot/spear rot (Erwinia spp) is a common bacterial disease of oil palm in Ghana. In Ghana, there is little or no knowledge of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with the crop as well as its economic importance.

Plant-parasitic nematodes are considered one of the most significant groups of pathogens worldwide, responsible for yearly agricultural losses of more than $100 billion (Agrios, 2015). Aside from direct production losses caused by their parasitism, several nematode species exacerbate losses caused by other pests and diseases. The initial quantity of nematodes in the soil is frequently connected to nematode damage to most crops. Farmers find it difficult to detect nematode infections and damage, let alone treat it, because below-ground infections that lead to above-ground symptoms are difficult to detect. The goal of this research was to identify plant parasitic nematodes associated with oil pam trees and determine their frequency of occurrence, population densities, and geographic distribution in Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Field Surveys and sample collection

Soil and root samples were collected during surveys in the Central, Western, and Eastern regions of Ghana. For two years in a row, each region was assessed during the main farming season, which runs from February to June. In each region, five farms were randomly selected and samples collected. A portable GPS device (Garmin eTrex 20, Switzerland) was used to record the GPS coordinates of each farm (Table 1).

Western	Atieku	5° 33′ 39.00″N 1° 41′ 16.00″W	2
Western	Bogoso	5° 34′ 7.82″N 2° 0′ 22.79″W	2
Western	Dramang	5° 30′ 39.00″N 1° 50′ 49.00″W	2
Western	Huni valley	5° 28′ 16.91″N 1° 5451.87″W	2
Eastern	Adonkrono	6° 04′ 54.91″N 0° 49′ 42.12″W	2
Eastern	Asuom	6° 15′ 48.13″N 0° 52′ 26.14″W	2
Eastern	Kusi	6° 01′ 40.00″N 0° 51; 34.00″W	2
Eastern	Okumaning	6° 06′ 57.00″N 0° 53′ 18.00″W	2
Eastern	Subi	6° 07′ 48.51″N 0° 50′ 6.47″W	2
Central	Burukuso	5° 25′ 19.10″N 1° 27′ 53.00″W	2
Central	Kyiabobso	5° 26′ 21.00″ N 1° 28′ 25.00″W	2
Central	Ntafrewaso	5° 33′ 32.94″N 1° 32′ 41.74″W	2
Central	Twifo Hemang	5° 29′ 11.45″N 1° 31′ 48.20″W	2
Central	Wawase	5° 25′ 2.00″ N 1° 28′ 38.00″W	2

Table 1: GPS coordinates of towns from which soil and root samples were collected

The rhizosphere region of oil palm plants was sampled with an auger up to a depth of 25-30cm in a random pattern. Three sub-samples, each containing around 150 cm3 soil, were collected and pooled from trees in each field. Tree roots were also obtained.

Nematode extraction and fixation

The extraction tray method (Coyne, 2007) and the sieving-sucrose centrifugation method (Van Bezooijen, 2006) were applied to achieve successful extraction of both sluggish and mobile nematodes,

In the extraction tray method, two-ply tissue paper was put in a plastic basket (18 cm diameter; 6.5 cm depth) such that the tissue paper covered the whole base of the basket. A 100 mL beaker

was used to measure 100 mL of well mixed and sieved soil sample. The measured soil sample was deposited and gently distributed over the tissue paper in the basket. The soil sample basket was put in another basket and then placed in an extraction plate (20 cm diameter; 3 cm deep). 200 mL tap water was carefully poured into the setup through the space between the plate's edge and the basket's edge and left for 48 hours. Setups were checked on a regular basis to ensure that plates that were drying out were refilled with water. The extraction plate suspension was emptied into a marked beaker after the 48 hours, and the plate was washed using a wash bottle into the beaker.

Nematodes were extracted from the residual soil sample by the sucrose centrifugation method. The soil was mixed with 500 mL water, spun and allowed to settle for 15 seconds. The supernatant was passed through an 833 mm/25 mm stacked sieve. The contents of the 25 mm sieve were carefully washed into a designated 50 mL centrifuge tube and equalized with tap water to the 50 mL mark. The tubes were placed in four pairs in a centrifuge.

Samples were spun at 1700 rpm without brake for 5 minutes and then allowed to settle for 5 minutes. The supernatant was aspirated to a height of about one centimeter above the particle. Sucrose solution (454 g of sugar in 1 L of distilled water) was added to the tube to 50 mL. and spun again to 1000 rpm in 30 seconds before applying the brake. The supernatant was put through a 25 mm sieve, and the nematodes caught in the sieve were carefully deposited into vials with labels.

For each sample, nematode aliquots from the extraction tray and sucrose centrifugation procedures were combined and diluted to 100 mL.

A 5 mL aliquot was sucked and placed into a clean counting tray and counted using a tally counter under an inverted compound microscope at a magnification of 20. Counting was done twice.

Endo-parasitic nematodes were extracted from infested oil palm tree roots by the root maceration and modified Baermann funnel methods (Hooper, 1986). The roots were cut into 1 cm pieces. Ten grammes of the cut pieces was placed in a glass funnel lined with two-ply tissue paper set on a wire mesh. The funnel was corked to trap water (containing nematodes). The water was put separately into 250 ml beakers after the funnels had been left for 48 hours.

Identification of nematodes

The extracted nematodes were viewed under a compound light microscope (Exacta - Optech Biostar B5P, Germany) linked to a computer running image-scope professional software (version 12.6.5). Nematodes were identified to the genus level based on morphological characteristics. Recent taxonomic keys (Dasgupta ***et al.,*** 1969; Handoo, 2000; Handoo and Golden, 1989; Robinson ***et al.***, 1997) and the University of Nebraska Lincoln nematode identification website were used to validate the identifications.

Results And Discussion

Nematodes from eleven genera were found in association with oil palm (Table 2). Some genera of nematodes were often found occurring in combinations at low population levels irrespective of the region. Mani ***et al.***, (2005) reported a similar trend in a study of nematodes associated with date palms in Oman.

A total of seven hundred and sixteen (716) nematodes were recovered from both roots and rhizosphere soils during the period. Fourteen (14) ***Aphelenchus*** spp were found in one farm in the Eastern region. This nematode has been found to feed on algae, mosses, lichens and plant roots (Yeates ***et al.***, 1993) and, therefore, pose little threat to oil palm production. Twenty-five (25) ***Criconemoides*** spp were recovered from soils and 36 from roots of oil palm trees from four farms in the Eastern and Central regions. Twenty-seven (27) ***Rotylenchus*** spp. were retrieved from soils and three from roots of oil palm trees from eight farms in the Central region. Sixty-three (63) ***Helicotylenchus*** spp were found in soils and 12 from roots of oil palm trees from 12 farms in all three regions surveyd. Twenty-six (26) ***Hemicriconemoides*** spp were recovered from soils and 21 from roots in the Western and Central regions. One hundred and sixty-two (162) ***Meloidogyne*** spp were found in soils and 58 from roots of oil palm trees from 18 farms in all three regions. This nematode (***Meloidogyne*** spp) has been reported as the most damaging species affecting many crops worldwide (Sasser, 1987). Eighty-six (86) ***Pratylenchus*** spp were recovered from soils and 43 from roots of oil palm trees from 14 farms in the three regions. Fifty -two (52) ***Radopholus*** spp were retrieved from soils and 35 from roots of oil palm trees from seven farms in only Western region whiles sixteen (16) ***Tylenchorynchus*** spp were recovered from soils and two (2) from roots in one farm in the Eastern and Western regions. Twenty- three (23) ***Tylenchus*** spp were recovered from only soils from four farms in the Eastern and Western regions. Twelve (12) ***Xiphenema*** spp were recovered from only soils from two farms in the Central region. Guevara & Nieto, (2013) studied the presence of nematodes and found the nematodes ***Helicotylenchus*** sp., ***Paratylenchus*** sp. ***Críconemella*** sp., ***Longidorus*** sp. ***Tylenchorhynchus*** sp and ***Xiphinema*** sp. present in roots of palms affected by but rot disease.

Helicotylenchus spp. ***Meloidogyne*** spp and ***Pratylenchus*** spp. were the major plant parasitic nematode genera most frequently recovered, most widely distributed and had the highest population densities compared to other genera.

Despite the low quantities of nematodes found in this survey, interaction between plant-parasitic nematodes and other plant-pathogenic soil organisms, notably fungus and bacteria, in the establishment of disease complexes makes them highly important even at low densities. As a result, if these nematodes are not controlled, they may present a threat to Ghana's oil palm industry.

Table 2: Occurrence, population density and distribution of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with oil palm in Ghana

Nematode Genus	Occurrence (%)	Population/200cm^3 Soil	Population/ 10g Roots	Distribution
Aphelenchus spp.	3.3	14	0	Eastern Region
Criconemoides spp.	13.3	25	36	Eastern and Central Regions
Rotylenchus spp.	26.6	27	3	Central Region
Helicotylenchus spp.	40.0	63	12	Eastern, Central and Western Regions
Hemicriconemoides spp.	26.6	26	21	Central and Western Regions
Meloidogyne spp.	60.0	162	58	Eastern, Central and Western Regions
Pratylenchus spp.	46.6	86	43	Eastern Central and Western Regions
Radopholus spp.	23.3	52	35	Western Region
Tylenchorynchus spp.	3.3	16	2	Eastern and Western Regions
Tylenchus spp.	13.3	23	0	Eastern and Western Regions
Xiphenema spp.	6.6	12	0	Central Region
TOTAL		506	210	

Conclusions

A survey of oil palm fields in the optimal areas of the Eastern, Central and Western Regions of Ghana led to the identification of eleven (11) nematode genera in association with oil palm. The frequency, population densities, and geographic distribution of these nematodes showed that ***Helicotylenchus*** spp. ***Meloidogyne*** spp and ***Pratylenchus*** spp. were the major plant parasitic nematode genera most frequently recovered, most widely distributed and had the highest population densities compared to other genera. ***Meloidogyne*** spp and ***Pratylenchus*** spp were found in all three regions surveyed.

References

Agrios, G. (2015). Plant pathology. Elsevier.

Boons, F., & Mendoza, A. (2010). Constructing sustainable palm oil: how actors define sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18(16–17), 1686–1695.

Coyne, D. L. (2007). Practical plant nematology: a field and laboratory guide. IITA.

Dasgupta, D. R., Raski, D. J., & Van Gundy, S. D. (1969). Revision of the Genus Hemicriconemoides Chitwood & Birchfield, 1957 (Nematoda: Criconematidae). Journal of Nematology, 1(2), 126.

Flood, J. (2006). A review of Fusarium wilt of oil palm caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. elaeidis. Phytopathology, 96(6), 660–662.

Guevara Á, L. A., & Nieto P, L. E. (2013). Nematodes associated with oil palms (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) affected by but rot disease.

Handoo, Zafar A. (2000). A key and diagnostic compendium to the species of the genus Tylenchorhynchus Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Belonolaimidae). Journal of Nematology, 32(1), 20.

Handoo, Zafar Ahmad, & Golden, A. M. (1989). A key and diagnostic compendium to the species of the genus Pratylenchus Filipjev, 1936 (lesion nematodes). Journal of Nematology, 21(2), 202.

Hooper, D. J. (1986). Handling, fixing, staining and mounting nematodes. In 'Laboratory Methods for Work with Plant and Soil Nematodes'. (Ed. JF Southey) pp. 59–80. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London.

Mani, A., Handoo, Z. A., & Livingston, S. (2005). Plant-parasitic nematodes associated with date palm trees (Phoenix dactylifera L.) in the Sultanate of Oman. Nematropica, 135–144.

Philippon, N., Mougin, E., Jarlan, L., & Frison, P. (2005). Analysis of the linkages between rainfall and land surface conditions in the West African monsoon through CMAP, ERS-WSC, and NOAA-AVHRR data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110(D24).

Robinson, A. F., Inserra, R. N., Caswell-Chen, E. P., Vovlas, N., & Troccoli, A. (1997). Rotylenchulus species: Identification, distribution, host ranges, and crop plant resistance. Nematropica, 27(2), 127–180.

Sasser, J. N. (1987). A world perspective on nematology: the role of the society. Vistas on Nematology., 7–14.

Van Bezooijen, J. (2006). Methods and techniques for nematology. Wageningen University Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Verheye, W. (2010). Growth and production of oil palm. In Land use, land cover and soil sciences. UNESCO-EOLSS Publishers.

Yeates, G. W., Bongers, T. D., De Goede, R. G. M., Freckman, D. W., & Georgieva, S. S. (1993). Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera—an outline for soil ecologists. Journal of Nematology, 25(3), 315.



Are you an AR4D expert
and want to be part of
the continental pool of
Agricultural experts ?

CLICK HERE TO

REGISTER

Forun For Agricultural Research In Africa
Headquarters 12 Anmeda Street, Roman Ridge
PMB CT 173, Accra, Ghana
Tel +233 (0) 302 772823 / 779421
Fax +233 (0) 302 773676
Email info@faraafrica.org
www.faraafrica.org

ISSN: 2590-9657